### Order and type of canonical systems. A survey.

### Harald Woracek

TU Vienna



FWF (I 1536-N25) :: Joint Project :: RFBR (13-01-91002-ANF)



・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Harald Woracek (TU Vienna)

Order and type of canonical systems

#### These slides are available from my website

#### http://www.asc.tuwien.ac.at/~woracek

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

### Outline

#### Introduction to the problem

- Canonical systems
- Order and type
- 2 Theorems I. Limit circle case
  - General estimate from above
  - Hamburger Hamiltonians
- 3 Theorems II. Limit point case
  - Uniform order via Schatten class properties
  - Krein strings
  - Non-uniform order via the de Branges chain

### References

# INTRODUCTION TO THE PROBLEM

Harald Woracek (TU Vienna)

Order and type of canonical systems

(日) (周) (三) (三)

We consider a 2-dimensional canonical system

$$f'(x) = zJH(x)f(x), \quad x \in (0, L),$$

where the Hamiltonian H satisfies

- $H:(0,L) \to \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ ,
- $H(x) \geqslant 0, \; x \in (0,L)$  a.e.,
- $\bullet \ H \in L^1_{\rm loc}(0,L) \text{,}$
- H does not vanish identically on any set of positive measure,
- $z \in \mathbb{C}$  a parameter,
- $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

3

We consider a 2-dimensional canonical system

$$f'(x) = zJH(x)f(x), \quad x \in (0, L),$$

where the Hamiltonian H satisfies

- $H: (0, L) \to \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ ,
- $H(x) \geqslant 0, \; x \in (0,L)$  a.e.,
- $H \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(0,L)$ ,
- H does not vanish identically on any set of positive measure,
- $z \in \mathbb{C}$  a parameter,

• 
$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

We always assume  $\int_0^x \operatorname{tr} H(y) \, dy < \infty, \quad x < L.$ 

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

### Fundamental solution

The fundamental solution of H is the unique  $2 \times 2$ -matrix valued solution  $W(x, z) = (w_{ij}(x, z))_{i, j=1}^2$  of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dx}W(x,z)J = zW(x,z)H(x), \quad x \in [0,L), \\ W(0,z) = I. \end{cases}$$

For technical reasons one passes to the transpose in the original equation.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

### Fundamental solution

The fundamental solution of H is the unique 2×2-matrix valued solution  $W(x, z) = (w_{ij}(x, z))_{i,j=1}^2$  of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dx}W(x,z)J = zW(x,z)H(x), \quad x \in [0,L), \\ W(0,z) = I. \end{cases}$$

For technical reasons one passes to the transpose in the original equation.

For each  $x \in (0, L)$  the entries of W(x, z) are entire functions in z.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

### The de Branges chain

For each  $x \in (0, L)$  the kernel

$$K_x(w,z) := \frac{w_{12}(x,z)w_{11}(x,\overline{w}) - w_{11}(x,z)w_{12}(x,\overline{w})}{z - \overline{w}}$$

is positive semidefinite and generates a reproducing kernel Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_x$  of entire functions. This space is a de Branges space.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### The de Branges chain

For each  $x \in (0, L)$  the kernel

$$K_x(w,z) := \frac{w_{12}(x,z)w_{11}(x,\overline{w}) - w_{11}(x,z)w_{12}(x,\overline{w})}{z - \overline{w}}$$

is positive semidefinite and generates a reproducing kernel Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_x$  of entire functions. This space is a de Branges space.

Let 0 < x < y < L. Then  $\mathcal{H}_x \subseteq \mathcal{H}_y$  and the inclusion map is contractive.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### The de Branges chain

For each  $x \in (0, L)$  the kernel

$$K_x(w,z) := \frac{w_{12}(x,z)w_{11}(x,\overline{w}) - w_{11}(x,z)w_{12}(x,\overline{w})}{z - \overline{w}}$$

is positive semidefinite and generates a reproducing kernel Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_x$  of entire functions. This space is a de Branges space.

Let 0 < x < y < L. Then  $\mathcal{H}_x \subseteq \mathcal{H}_y$  and the inclusion map is contractive.

If x is not inner point of an indivisible interval, the inclusion  $\mathcal{H}_x \subseteq \mathcal{H}_y$  is isometric.

An interval  $(a, b) \subseteq (0, L)$  is indivisible, if  $H(x) = h(x)\xi_{\phi}\xi_{\phi}^{T}$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ a.e., where  $\xi_{\phi} = (\cos \phi, \sin \phi)^{T}$  and h(x) > 0 is a scalar-valued function.

- 4 @ > 4 @ > 4 @ >

#### Definition

For  $x \in [0, L)$  let  $\rho(x)$  be the order of the function  $w_{11}(x, \cdot)$ . That is, the infimum of all  $\rho > 0$  such that there exist  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  with

$$|w_{11}(x,z)| \leq \alpha \exp\left(\beta \cdot |z|^{\rho}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (1)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

#### Definition

For  $x \in [0, L)$  let  $\rho(x)$  be the order of the function  $w_{11}(x, \cdot)$ . That is, the infimum of all  $\rho > 0$  such that there exist  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  with

$$|w_{11}(x,z)| \leq \alpha \exp\left(\beta \cdot |z|^{\rho}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (1)

#### Definition

Let  $x \in [0, L)$  and assume that  $\rho(x) < \infty$ . Let  $\tau(x)$  be the type of the function  $w_{11}(x, \cdot)$  w.r.t. its order. That is, the infimum of all  $\beta > 0$  such that (1) holds for some  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\rho = \rho(x)$ .

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Type can also be considered w.r.t. a growth function  $\lambda(r)$  (instead of  $r^{\rho}$ ). For example:

$$\lambda(r) = r^{\rho} \cdot (\log r)^{\alpha_1} \cdot (\log \log r)^{\alpha_2} \cdots$$

3

Type can also be considered w.r.t. a growth function  $\lambda(r)$  (instead of  $r^{\rho}$ ). For example:

$$\lambda(r) = r^{\rho} \cdot (\log r)^{\alpha_1} \cdot (\log \log r)^{\alpha_2} \cdots$$

#### Definition

The type  $\tau_{\lambda}(x)$  w.r.t.  $\lambda(r)$  is the infimum of all  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$|w_{11}(x,z)| \leq \alpha \exp\left(\beta \cdot \lambda(|z|)\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

3

소리가 소문가 소문가 소문가 ...

#### The principle problem:

Given *H*, compute  $\rho(x)$  and  $\tau(x)$ , or  $\tau_{\lambda}(x)$  for prescribed  $\lambda$ .

Harald Woracek (TU Vienna)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## Krein-de Branges formula

### Theorem (M.G.Krein 1951 / L.de Branges 1961)

Exponential type (i.e., type w.r.t.  $\lambda(r)=r$ ) is given by the formula

$$\tau_r(x) = \int_0^x \sqrt{\det H(y)} \, dy.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Krein-de Branges formula

#### Theorem (M.G.Krein 1951 / L.de Branges 1961)

Exponential type (i.e., type w.r.t.  $\lambda(r)=r$ ) is given by the formula

$$\tau_r(x) = \int_0^x \sqrt{\det H(y)} \, dy.$$

It cannot be expected that for other speeds of growth  $\tau_{\lambda}(x)$  can be described by a nearly as neat formula, and the same for  $\rho(x)$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Theorem (explicit: A.D.Baranov and H.Woracek 2006)

- The functions  $w_{ij}(x, \cdot)$ , i, j = 1, 2, all have the same order and  $\lambda$ -type.
- $\rho(x)$  and  $\tau_{\lambda}(x)$  are the maximum of orders or types, respectively, of elements of the space  $\mathcal{H}_x$ .

Theorem (explicit: A.D.Baranov and H.Woracek 2006)

- The functions  $w_{ij}(x, \cdot)$ , i, j = 1, 2, all have the same order and  $\lambda$ -type.
- $\rho(x)$  and  $\tau_{\lambda}(x)$  are the maximum of orders or types, respectively, of elements of the space  $\mathcal{H}_x$ .

#### Corollary

The functions  $\rho: x \mapsto \rho(x)$  and  $\tau_{\lambda}: x \mapsto \tau_{\lambda}(x)$  are nondecreasing.

Theorem (explicit: A.D.Baranov and H.Woracek 2006)

- The functions  $w_{ij}(x, \cdot)$ , i, j = 1, 2, all have the same order and  $\lambda$ -type.
- $\rho(x)$  and  $\tau_{\lambda}(x)$  are the maximum of orders or types, respectively, of elements of the space  $\mathcal{H}_x$ .

### Corollary

The functions  $\rho: x \mapsto \rho(x)$  and  $\tau_{\lambda}: x \mapsto \tau_{\lambda}(x)$  are nondecreasing.

• The functions  $\rho$  and  $\tau_{\lambda}$  are neither necessarily left-continuous nor right-continuous.

Theorem (explicit: A.D.Baranov and H.Woracek 2006)

- The functions  $w_{ij}(x, \cdot)$ , i, j = 1, 2, all have the same order and  $\lambda$ -type.
- $\rho(x)$  and  $\tau_{\lambda}(x)$  are the maximum of orders or types, respectively, of elements of the space  $\mathcal{H}_x$ .

#### Corollary

The functions  $\rho: x \mapsto \rho(x)$  and  $\tau_{\lambda}: x \mapsto \tau_{\lambda}(x)$  are nondecreasing.

- The functions  $\rho$  and  $\tau_{\lambda}$  are neither necessarily left-continuous nor right-continuous.
- For each finite sequence  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  of growth functions with  $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_{i+1}$ , and  $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \ldots \leq \alpha_n$ , there exists a Hamiltonian H such that for some points  $0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n < L$

$$\tau_{\lambda_i}(x_i) = \alpha_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Harald Woracek (TU Vienna)

#### It is an open question...

whether every nondecreasing function into [0,1] (or  $[0,\infty]$ ) is the function  $\rho(x)$  (or  $\tau_{\lambda}(x)$ , resp.) of some Hamiltonian.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

#### It is an open question...

whether every nondecreasing function into [0,1] (or  $[0,\infty]$ ) is the function  $\rho(x)$  (or  $\tau_{\lambda}(x)$ , resp.) of some Hamiltonian.

For  $\rho(x)$  the answer is expected to be "yes". The same for  $\tau_{\lambda}(x)$  provided  $\lambda(r) = o(r)$ .

This restriction is natural:

- If  $\lambda(r) = r$  a function is of the form  $\tau_{\lambda}(x)$  if and only if it is nondecreasing and absolutely continuous.
- If  $r = o(\lambda(r))$ ,  $\tau_{\lambda}(x)$  is identically 0.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

# THEOREMS I. LIMIT CIRCLE CASE

Harald Woracek (TU Vienna)

Order and type of canonical systems

14 / 46

э

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

In this chapter assume that

$$\int_0^L \operatorname{tr} H(x) \, dx < \infty.$$

Then the monodromy matrix W(L,z) of the system exists and we may investigate  $\rho(L)$ .

3

소리가 소문가 소문가 소문가 ...

#### Theorem (R.V.Romanov 2016)

Let  $\alpha \in (0,1]$ . Assume:  $\exists C > 0 \ \forall R > 1 \ \exists H^*$  composed of  $N^* < \infty$  indivisible intervals  $(x_{j-1}^*, x_j^*)$  with angles  $\phi_j^* \ \exists a_j^* \in (0,1]$ :

• 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{N^{\star}} \frac{1}{(a_{j}^{\star})^{2}} \int_{x_{j-1}^{\star}}^{x_{j}^{\star}} \left\| H(x) - H^{\star}(x) \right\| dx \leq CR^{\alpha-1}$$
  
•  $\sum_{j=1}^{N^{\star}} (a_{j}^{\star})^{2} \left[ x_{j}^{\star} - x_{j-1}^{\star} \right] \leq CR^{\alpha-1}$   
•  $\sum_{j=1}^{N^{\star}-1} \ln \left[ 1 + |\sin(\phi_{j+1}^{\star} - \phi_{j}^{\star})| \cdot (a_{j+1}^{\star}a_{j}^{\star})^{-1} \right] \leq CR^{\alpha}$   
•  $|\ln a_{1}^{\star}| + |\ln a_{N^{\star}}^{\star}| + \sum_{j=1}^{N^{\star}-1} \left| \ln \frac{a_{j+1}^{\star}}{a_{j}^{\star}} \right| \leq CR^{\alpha}$   
hen  $\rho(L) \leq \alpha$ .

In very simplified words: If H can be approximated well by finite dimensional Hamiltonians, the order is small.

Harald Woracek (TU Vienna)

< 67 ▶

This result is sharp:

For each  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  there exists a Hamiltonian H such that  $\rho(L) = \rho$  and that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied for all  $\alpha \in (\rho, 1]$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### It is an open question...

whether Romanov's theorem always computes  $\rho(L)$ :

Given H, is it possible to find for every  $\alpha \in (\rho(L), 1]$  an approximation satisfying the conditions of the theorem ?

イロト 人間ト イヨト イヨト

#### It is an open question...

whether Romanov's theorem always computes  $\rho(L)$ :

Given H, is it possible to find for every  $\alpha \in (\rho(L), 1]$  an approximation satisfying the conditions of the theorem ?

We don't know what to expect.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

### Hamburger moment problems

A sequence  $(s_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a Hamburger moment sequence if  $s_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n d\mu(x)$  with some positive Borel measure  $\mu$ .

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

### Hamburger moment problems

A sequence  $(s_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a Hamburger moment sequence if  $s_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n d\mu(x)$  with some positive Borel measure  $\mu$ .

Associated to a Hamburger moment sequence is the Jacobi operator J. Its eigenvalue equation can be written as a three-term recurrance:

$$zP_n(z) = \rho_n P_{n+1}(z) + q_n P_n(z) + \rho_{n-1} P_{n-1}(z), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

with  $\rho_n, q_n$  being the Jacobi parameters of  $(s_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ .

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

### Hamburger moment problems

A sequence  $(s_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a Hamburger moment sequence if  $s_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n d\mu(x)$  with some positive Borel measure  $\mu$ .

Associated to a Hamburger moment sequence is the Jacobi operator J. Its eigenvalue equation can be written as a three-term recurrance:

$$zP_n(z) = \rho_n P_{n+1}(z) + q_n P_n(z) + \rho_{n-1} P_{n-1}(z), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

with  $\rho_n, q_n$  being the Jacobi parameters of  $(s_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ .

The three-term recurrance of a Hamburger moment sequence can be rewritten as a canonical system:  $H(x) := \xi_{\phi_n} \xi_{\phi_n}^T$ ,  $x \in [x_{n-1}, x_n)$ , where

$$q_n = -\frac{1}{l_n} \Big[ \cot(\phi_{n+1} - \phi_n) + \cot(\phi_n - \phi_{n-1}) \Big]$$
$$\frac{1}{\rho_n} = |\sin(\phi_{n+1} - \phi_n)| \sqrt{l_n l_{n+1}}, \quad x_n := \sum_{k=1}^n l_k.$$

### Livšic's Theorem

#### Theorem (M.S.Livšic 1939)

Let H be the Hamiltonian arising from an indeterminate moment sequence  $(s_n)_{n=1}^\infty.$  Then

 $\rho(L) \geqslant \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{2n \ln n}{\ln s_{2n}}.$ 

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

### Livšic's Theorem

### Theorem (M.S.Livšic 1939)

Let H be the Hamiltonian arising from an indeterminate moment sequence  $(s_n)_{n=1}^\infty.$  Then

 $\rho(L) \geqslant \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{2n \ln n}{\ln s_{2n}}.$ 

In Livšic's estimate equality does not always hold. The gap between the left and right hand sides can be arbitrarily close to 1.

・ロン ・聞と ・ ほと ・ ほと

## The Berg-Szwarc formula

#### Theorem (C.Berg and R.Szwarc 2014)

Let H be the Hamiltonian arising from an indeterminate moment sequence  $(s_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , and let  $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,n} z^k$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , be the orthogonal polynomials associated with this sequence. Then

$$\rho(L) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{-2k \ln k}{\ln \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_{k,n}^2}.$$

Harald Woracek (TU Vienna)

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

# The Berg-Szwarc formula

#### Theorem (C.Berg and R.Szwarc 2014)

Let H be the Hamiltonian arising from an indeterminate moment sequence  $(s_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , and let  $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,n} z^k$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , be the orthogonal polynomials associated with this sequence. Then

$$\rho(L) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{-2k \ln k}{\ln \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_{k,n}^2}.$$

This formula is unlikely to be of much *practical* use, since it requires knowledge of all coefficients of orthogonal polynomials.

イロト 人間ト イヨト イヨト

However, it yields a practical estimate in terms of the Hamiltonian.

However, it yields a practical estimate in terms of the Hamiltonian.

#### Definition

Let  $\vec{l}$ ,  $\vec{\phi}$  be sequences of real numbers with  $l_n > 0$  and  $\phi_{n+1} \not\equiv \phi_n \mod \pi$ . The Hamburger Hamiltonian  $H_{\vec{l},\vec{\phi}}$  is

$$H_{\vec{l},\vec{\phi}}(x) := \xi_{\phi_n} \xi_{\phi_n}^T, \ x \in [x_{n-1}, x_n), \qquad x_n := \sum_{k=1}^n l_k.$$

However, it yields a practical estimate in terms of the Hamiltonian.

#### Definition

Let  $\vec{l}$ ,  $\vec{\phi}$  be sequences of real numbers with  $l_n > 0$  and  $\phi_{n+1} \not\equiv \phi_n \mod \pi$ . The Hamburger Hamiltonian  $H_{\vec{l},\vec{\phi}}$  is

$$H_{\vec{l},\vec{\phi}}(x) := \xi_{\phi_n} \xi_{\phi_n}^T, \ x \in [x_{n-1}, x_n), \qquad x_n := \sum_{k=1}^n l_k.$$

#### Corollary

Let  $H_{\vec{l},\vec{\phi}}$  be a Hamburger Hamiltonian in the limit circle case. Then

$$\rho(L) \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{-k \ln k}{\ln \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} |\sin(\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k)| \sqrt{l_k l_{k+1}}}$$

We introduce measures for decay and smoothness of a Hamburger Hamiltonian  $H_{\vec{l},\vec{\phi}}.$ 

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

We introduce measures for decay and smoothness of a Hamburger Hamiltonian  $H_{\vec{l},\vec{\phi}}$ .

• Decay of lengths:  $\Delta_l := \max\left\{1, \sup\{\tau \ge 0 : l_n = O(n^{-\tau})\}\right\}$ 

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

We introduce measures for decay and smoothness of a Hamburger Hamiltonian  $H_{\vec{l},\vec{\phi}}$ .

- Decay of lengths:  $\Delta_l := \max \left\{ 1, \sup\{\tau \ge 0 : l_n = O(n^{-\tau}) \} \right\}$
- Smoothness of angles:

$$\Delta_{\phi} := \sup \left\{ \tau \ge 0 : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |\sin(\phi_{n+1} - \phi_n)| = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\tau}) \right\}$$

We introduce measures for decay and smoothness of a Hamburger Hamiltonian  $H_{\vec{l},\vec{\phi}}$ .

- Decay of lengths:  $\Delta_l := \max \left\{ 1, \sup\{\tau \ge 0 : l_n = O(n^{-\tau}) \} \right\}$
- Smoothness of angles:

$$\Delta_{\phi} := \sup \left\{ \tau \ge 0 : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |\sin(\phi_{n+1} - \phi_n)| = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\tau}) \right\}$$

• Speed of possible convergence of angles:

$$\Lambda := \sup_{\phi \in [0,\pi)} \sup \left\{ \tau \ge 0 : \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} l_j |\sin(\phi_j - \phi)| = \mathcal{O}\left(n^{1 - \Delta_l^+ - \tau}\right) \right\}$$

Theorem (R.Pruckner and R.V.Romanov and H.Woracek [[to appear]])

Let  $H_{\vec{l},\vec{\phi}}$  be a Hamburger Hamiltonian in limit circle case (i.e.  $\sum l_n < \infty$ ). • Case  $\Delta_l + \Delta_{\phi} \ge 2$ ,  $(\Delta_l, \Delta_{\phi}, \Lambda) \ne (1, 1, 0)$ :

$$\rho(L) \leqslant \frac{1}{\Delta_l + \Delta_\phi}.$$
(2)

• Case 
$$\Delta_l + \Delta_{\phi} < 2$$
,  $\Lambda \ge 2\Delta_{\phi}$ : Also (2).  
• Case  $\Delta_l + \Delta_{\phi} < 2$ ,  $\Lambda < 2\Delta_{\phi}$ :

$$\rho(L) \leq \frac{1 - \Delta_{\phi} + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda}{\Delta_l - \Delta_{\phi} + \Lambda}.$$

In simplified words: If  $\vec{l}$  decays fast and  $\phi_n$  behave smoothly, the order is small.

Harald Woracek (TU Vienna)

Combining the upper bound (1<sup>st</sup> case) with the lower bound obtained from the Berg-Szwarc formula allows to compute  $\rho(L)$  for Hamburger Hamiltonians whose lengths  $l_n$  and angle differences  $|\phi_{n+1} - \phi_n|$  behave not too wildly and decay sufficiently fast.

#### Corollary

#### Assume

• 
$$\tau > 1$$
,  $\sigma > 0$ , and  $\tau + \sigma > 2$ 

• 
$$l_n = n^{-\tau} \cdot r_n$$
 with  $\frac{\ln r_n}{\ln n} \to 0$ 

• 
$$|\phi_{n+1} - \phi_n|$$
 is nonincreasing and  $\mathrm{O}(n^{-\sigma})$ 

Then

$$\rho(L) = \frac{1}{\tau + \sigma}.$$

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### It is an open question...

whether this bound is sharp at every point of the critical region (3<sup>rd</sup> case) " $\Delta_l + \Delta_{\phi} < 2$ ,  $\Lambda_{\phi} < 2\Delta_{\phi}$ ".

イロト 人間ト イヨト イヨト

#### It is an open question...

whether this bound is sharp at every point of the critical region (3<sup>rd</sup> case) " $\Delta_l + \Delta_{\phi} < 2$ ,  $\Lambda_{\phi} < 2\Delta_{\phi}$ ".

We tend to believe the answer is "yes".

# THEOREMS II. LIMIT POINT CASE

Harald Woracek (TU Vienna)

Order and type of canonical systems

27 / 46

э

In this chapter assume that

$$\int_0^L \operatorname{tr} H(x) \, dx = \infty.$$

Then the Weyl coefficient

$$Q_H(z) := \lim_{x \nearrow L} \frac{w_{11}(x, z)\tau + w_{12}(x, z)}{w_{21}(x, z)\tau + w_{22}(x, z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

of the system exists independently of  $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ , is an analytic function in  $\mathbb{C}^+$  with nonnegative imaginary part in this half-plane, and satisfies  $Q_H(\overline{z}) = \overline{Q_H(z)}$ .

# Uniform order

#### Definition

Assume  $Q_H$  is meromorphic in the whole plane. The uniform order  $\bar{\rho}(H)$  of H is the convergence exponent of the sequence  $(\frac{1}{\omega_n})$ , where  $\omega_n$  are the nonzero poles of  $Q_H$ .

If  $Q_H$  is not meromorphic throughout  $\mathbb{C}$ , set  $\bar{\rho}(H) := \infty$ .

イロト 人間ト イヨト イヨト

# Uniform order

#### Definition

Assume  $Q_H$  is meromorphic in the whole plane. The uniform order  $\bar{\rho}(H)$  of H is the convergence exponent of the sequence  $(\frac{1}{\omega_n})$ , where  $\omega_n$  are the nonzero poles of  $Q_H$ .

If  $Q_H$  is not meromorphic throughout  $\mathbb{C}$ , set  $\bar{\rho}(H) := \infty$ .

This is a straight generalisation of the limit circle situation:

If H ends with an indivisible interval (L', L), then  $\bar{\rho}(H) = \rho(L')$ .

イロト 人間ト イヨト イヨト

# Uniform order

#### Definition

Assume  $Q_H$  is meromorphic in the whole plane. The uniform order  $\bar{\rho}(H)$  of H is the convergence exponent of the sequence  $(\frac{1}{\omega_n})$ , where  $\omega_n$  are the nonzero poles of  $Q_H$ .

If  $Q_H$  is not meromorphic throughout  $\mathbb{C}$ , set  $\bar{\rho}(H) := \infty$ .

This is a straight generalisation of the limit circle situation:

If H ends with an indivisible interval (L', L), then  $\bar{\rho}(H) = \rho(L')$ .

Uniform order is maybe best understood from an operator theoretic viewpoint:  $\bar{\rho}(H)$  is the infimum of all  $\alpha > 0$  such that selfadjoint realisations of the canonical system have resolvents belonging to the Schatten-class  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}$ .

- 4 週 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト

# The Hilbert-Schmidt condition

#### Theorem (explicit: M.Kaltenbäck and H.Woracek 2007)

A Hamiltonian H has the property  $\mathfrak{S}_2,$  if and only if there exists an angle  $\phi \in [0,\pi)$  with

• 
$$\int_{0}^{L} \xi_{\phi}^{T} H(x) \xi_{\phi} \, dx < \infty,$$
  
• 
$$\int_{0}^{L} \xi_{\phi+\frac{\pi}{2}}^{T} G(x) \xi_{\phi+\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot \xi_{\phi}^{T} H(x) \xi_{\phi} \, dx < \infty \text{ where } G(x) := \int_{0}^{x} H(y) \, dy.$$

(人間) トイヨト イヨト

# The Hilbert-Schmidt condition

### Theorem (explicit: M.Kaltenbäck and H.Woracek 2007)

A Hamiltonian H has the property  $\mathfrak{S}_2$ , if and only if there exists an angle  $\phi \in [0, \pi)$  with

• 
$$\int_{0}^{L} \xi_{\phi}^{T} H(x) \xi_{\phi} \, dx < \infty,$$
  
• 
$$\int_{0}^{L} \xi_{\phi+\frac{\pi}{2}}^{T} G(x) \xi_{\phi+\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot \xi_{\phi}^{T} H(x) \xi_{\phi} \, dx < \infty \text{ where } G(x) := \int_{0}^{x} H(y) \, dy.$$

For  $\phi = 0$  these conditions take the simple form  $(H(x) = (h_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^2)$ 

$$\int_0^L h_{11}(x) \, dx < \infty, \qquad \int_0^L \Big( \int_0^x h_{22}(y) \, dy \Big) h_{11}(x) \, dx.$$

# The Hilbert-Schmidt condition

### Theorem (explicit: M.Kaltenbäck and H.Woracek 2007)

A Hamiltonian H has the property  $\mathfrak{S}_2,$  if and only if there exists an angle  $\phi \in [0,\pi)$  with

• 
$$\int_{0}^{L} \xi_{\phi}^{T} H(x) \xi_{\phi} \, dx < \infty,$$
  
• 
$$\int_{0}^{L} \xi_{\phi+\frac{\pi}{2}}^{T} G(x) \xi_{\phi+\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot \xi_{\phi}^{T} H(x) \xi_{\phi} \, dx < \infty \text{ where } G(x) := \int_{0}^{x} H(y) \, dy.$$

For  $\phi = 0$  these conditions take the simple form  $(H(x) = (h_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^2)$ 

$$\int_0^L h_{11}(x) \, dx < \infty, \qquad \int_0^L \Big( \int_0^x h_{22}(y) \, dy \Big) h_{11}(x) \, dx.$$

Hence, the property  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  relates to a theorem of de Branges about Hamiltonians which are in the limit point case at their left endpoint.

Harald Woracek (TU Vienna)

A string is given by a nondecreasing function  $m: [0, L] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , its mass distribution function.

3

A string is given by a nondecreasing function  $m: [0, L] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , its mass distribution function.

Associated to a string is the Krein-Feller differential operator  $-D_m D_x$ . Its eigenvalue equation can be written as an integral boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} f(x) - f(0) + z \int_{[0,x]} (x - y) f(y) \, dm(y) = 0, \quad x \in [0,L], \\ f'(0-) = 0 \end{cases}$$

3

A string is given by a nondecreasing function  $m : [0, L] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , its mass distribution function.

Associated to a string is the Krein-Feller differential operator  $-D_m D_x$ . Its eigenvalue equation can be written as an integral boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} f(x) - f(0) + z \int_{[0,x]} (x - y) f(y) \, dm(y) = 0, \quad x \in [0,L], \\ f'(0-) = 0 \end{cases}$$

The equation of a string can be rewritten as a canonical system:

$$H(x):=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -m(x)\\ -m(x) & m(x)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x\in [0,L).$$

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

# dating back to Krein...

Let  $m: [0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,\infty)$  be nondecreasing and bounded, and consider

$$H(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -m(x) \\ -m(x) & m(x)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in [0, \infty).$$

3

# dating back to Krein...

Let  $m: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  be nondecreasing and bounded, and consider

$$H(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -m(x) \\ -m(x) & m(x)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in [0, \infty).$$

#### Theorem (I.S.Kac and M.G.Krein 1958)

 $Q_H$  is meromorphic in the whole plane if and only if  $\lim x(m(\infty) - m(x)) = 0.$  $x \rightarrow \infty$ 

#### Theorem (M.G.Krein $\approx$ 1952)

$$H$$
 has the property  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  if and only if  $\int_0^\infty x \, dm(x) < \infty$ .

# Kac's Theorems

#### Theorem (I.S.Kac 1962)

Assume  $\lim_{x\to\infty} x(m(\infty) - m(x)) = 0$  and let  $\alpha \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}$ . Then H has the property  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}$  if and only if

$$\int_Q U(x_1, x_2) U(x_2, x_3) \cdots U(x_{\alpha-1}, x_\alpha) U(x_\alpha, x_1) \ dx_1 \cdots dx_\alpha < \infty,$$

where

$$U(x,s) := \begin{cases} m(\infty) - m(s) , & x \leq s \\ m(\infty) - m(x) , & x > s \end{cases}$$

and

$$Q := \{ (x_1, \dots, x_\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^\alpha : 0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_\alpha \}.$$

# Kac's Theorems

#### Theorem (I.S.Kac 1986)

Assume  $\int_{0}^{\infty} x \, dm(x) < \infty$  and let  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ . Then H has the property  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}$  if and only if  $\int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{s_{x}(l)} \left[ \lim_{\alpha \to 0} (x) \right]^{\alpha-1} dt dm(x) < \infty$ 

$$\int_0 \int_0 \left[ u_x(t) \right]^{\alpha - 1} dt \, dm(x) < \infty,$$

where

$$u_x(s) := s \big( m(x+s) - m(x-s) \big), \quad s \in [0, \min\{x, L-x\}),$$
$$s_x(t) := \sup \big\{ s \in [0, \min\{x, L-x\}) : u_x(s) \le t \big\}.$$

# Kac's Theorem

#### It is an open question...

how to characterise the property  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}$  when  $\alpha > 1$  but not an integer.

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Kac's Theorem

#### It is an open question...

how to characterise the property  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}$  when  $\alpha > 1$  but not an integer.

#### Maybe interpolating between $\alpha$ 's might help ?

Harald Woracek (TU Vienna)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Can one define a notion of *order of a measure* generalising that of the type of a measure ?

э

• Can one define a notion of *order of a measure* generalising that of the type of a measure ?

Most of the common definitions of the type of a measure (density of exponentials, density of Fourier transforms of fast decaying functions) are intrinsically related to exponential type and cannot be adapted for small orders.

イロト 人間ト イヨト イヨト

• Can one define a notion of *order of a measure* generalising that of the type of a measure ?

Most of the common definitions of the type of a measure (density of exponentials, density of Fourier transforms of fast decaying functions) are intrinsically related to exponential type and cannot be adapted for small orders.

For a meaningful definition of the order of a measure (say, a finite measure), one needs an appropriate *testing space*.

This space is found by considering the canonical system whose Weyl coefficient is the Cauchy-transform of the measure.

#### Definition

Let H be a Hamiltonian. We define the non-uniform order  $\rho(L)$  as

$$\rho(L) := \sup \left\{ \rho(x) : x \in [0, L) \right\} \in [0, 1].$$

3

#### Definition

Let H be a Hamiltonian. We define the non-uniform order  $\rho(L)$  as

$$\rho(L) := \sup \left\{ \rho(x) : x \in [0, L) \right\} \in [0, 1].$$

The order of measure is now defined as follows: Let  $\mu$  be given, let H be the Hamiltonian whose Weyl coefficient is  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(x)}{x-z}$ , and let the order  $\rho(\mu)$  of  $\mu$  be  $\rho(L)$ .

#### Definition

Let H be a Hamiltonian. We define the non-uniform order  $\rho(L)$  as

$$\rho(L) := \sup \left\{ \rho(x) : x \in [0, L) \right\} \in [0, 1].$$

The order of measure is now defined as follows: Let  $\mu$  be given, let H be the Hamiltonian whose Weyl coefficient is  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(x)}{x-z}$ , and let the order  $\rho(\mu)$  of  $\mu$  be  $\rho(L)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}_x$  be the spaces of the de Branges chain of H. Then  $\rho(L)$  is the supremum of orders of elements of the linear space

$$\mathcal{L} := \bigcup_{x \in [0,L)} \mathcal{H}_x.$$

< 152 ▶

- $\rho(L) \leq \bar{\rho}(L)$ .
- It may happen that  $\rho(L) = 0$  whereas  $\bar{\rho}(L) = \infty$ .
- For each  $\rho \in [0, 1]$  there exist measures  $\mu$  with nontrivial absolutely continuous part, such that the order of  $\mu$  is  $\rho$ .

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

- $\rho(L) \leq \bar{\rho}(L)$ .
- It may happen that  $\rho(L) = 0$  whereas  $\bar{\rho}(L) = \infty$ .
- For each  $\rho \in [0, 1]$  there exist measures  $\mu$  with nontrivial absolutely continuous part, such that the order of  $\mu$  is  $\rho$ .
- In order to determine or estimate non-uniform order, one can of course use the very definition, and try to estimate  $\rho(x)$  by means of limit circle theorems for every x. Such investigations, however, have not been undertaken yet.
- The non-uniform order of a semibounded (finite) measure cannot exceed <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>. This, however, has rather trivial reasons.
- Otherwise, we do not know *any* theorem which determines or estimates the non-uniform order for a significant class of Hamiltonians.

A (10) > A (10) > A

# Borichev-Sodin type perturbation

A notion of majorisation of measures was introduced by A.Borichev and M.Sodin (2011) for the case  $\rho = 1$  to investigate the type of a measure.

We are interested in orders < 1.

# Borichev-Sodin type perturbation

A notion of majorisation of measures was introduced by A.Borichev and M.Sodin (2011) for the case  $\rho = 1$  to investigate the type of a measure.

We are interested in orders < 1.

#### Definition

Let  $\rho \in (0,1)$ . Write  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ , if

$$\exists c_0, c_1, c_2 \text{ with } c_1 \ge 1, c_0, c_2 \ge 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} : \mu_1 \left( (x - e^{-|x|^{\rho}}, x + e^{-|x|^{\rho}}) \right) \le c_0 \mu_2 \left( (x - c_1 e^{-|x|^{\rho}}, x + c_1 e^{-|x|^{\rho}}) \right) + c_2 e^{-|x|^{\rho}}.$$

# Borichev-Sodin type perturbation

#### Theorem (A.D.Baranov and H.Woracek [[recent]])

Let  $\rho \in (0,1)$  and assume that  $\rho(\mu_1), \rho(\mu_2) < \rho$ .

If  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  and  $\mu_2 \leq \mu_1$ , then  $\rho(\mu_1) = \rho(\mu_2)$ .

# References

Harald Woracek (TU Vienna)

Order and type of canonical systems

41 / 46

э

# References I

A. Borichev and M. Sodin. "Weighted exponential approximation and non-classical orthogonal spectral measures".
In: Adv. Math. 226.3 (2011), pp. 2503-2545. ISSN: 0001-8708.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2010.08.019. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2010.08.019.

- L. de Branges. "Some Hilbert spaces of entire functions. II". In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 99 (1961), pp. 118–152. ISSN: 0002-9947.
- I.S. Kac. "On the genus of the spectrum of a singular string". In: *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika* 1962.1 (26) (1962), pp. 57–64. ISSN: 0021-3446.

# References II

- I.S. Kac. "Integral estimates for the distribution of the spectrum of a string". In: *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* 27.2 (1986), pp. 62–74, 221. ISSN: 0037-4474.
  - I.S. Kac. "Inclusion of the Hamburger power moment problem in the spectral theory of canonical systems". Russian. In: *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* 262.Issled. po Linein. Oper. i Teor. Funkts. 27 (1999). English translation: J. Math. Sci. (New York) 110 (2002), no. 5, 2991–3004, pp. 147–171, 234. ISSN: 0373-2703. DOI: 10.1023/A:1015391405016. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1015391405016.
  - I.S. Kac and M.G. Krein. "Criteria for the discreteness of the spectrum of a singular string". In: *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika* 1958.2 (3) (1958), pp. 136–153. ISSN: 0021-3446.

# References III

I.S. Kac and M.G. Krein. "On spectral functions of a string". Russian. In: Addition II in F.V.Atkinson, Дискретные и непрерывные граничные задачи (Russian translation). English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 103 (1974), 19–102. Izdat. "Mir", Moscow, 1968, pp. 648–737.

M. Kaltenbäck and H. Woracek. "Hermite-Biehler functions with zeros close to the imaginary axis". In: *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 133.1 (2005), 245–255 (electronic). ISSN: 0002-9939. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9939-04-07605-1. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-04-07605-1.

# References IV

M. Kaltenbäck and H. Woracek. "Canonical differential equations of Hilbert-Schmidt type". In: *Operator theory in inner product spaces*. Vol. 175. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. Basel: Birkhäuser, 2007, pp. 159–168. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-7643-8270-4\_9. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8270-4\_9.



M.G. Krein. "On the theory of entire matrix functions of exponential type". In: *Ukrain. Mat. Žurnal* 3 (1951), pp. 164–173. ISSN: 0041-6053.

M. Livšic. "On some questions concerning the determinate case of Hamburger's moment problem". Russian. English summary. In: *Rec. Math. N. S. [Mat. Sbornik]* 6(48) (1939), pp. 293–306.

ヘロト 人間 ト 人 ヨト 人 ヨトー

## References V

R. Pruckner, R. Romanov, and H. Woracek. "Bounds on order of indeterminate moment sequences". In: *Constr. Approx.* (to appear), p. 24. URL: http://www.asc.tuwien.ac.at/ preprint/2015/asc38x2015.pdf.

R. Romanov. "Order problem for canonical systems and a conjecture of Valent". In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2016), 18pp. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/tran6686.

H. Woracek. "Perturbation of chains of de Branges spaces". In: J. Anal. Math. (to appear), 38 pp. URL: http://www.asc. tuwien.ac.at/preprint/2014/asc42x2014.pdf.